

# Mathematical Statistics

---

**Anna Janicka**

Lecture XIII, 26.05.2022

**ANOVA**

**NON-PARAMETRIC TESTS**

# Plan for Today

---

1. Comparing two populations – cont.
2. Analysis of variance tests (ANOVA)
3. Goodness-of-fit tests
  - Kolmogorov test
  - chi-square goodness-of-fit



# Model I: comparison of means, variance known, significance level $\alpha$ – *reminder*

---

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_X}$  are an IID sample from distr  $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ ,  
 $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n_Y}$  are an IID sample from distr  $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ ,  
 $\sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2$  are **known**, samples are independent

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y \quad U = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\sigma_X^2/n_X + \sigma_Y^2/n_Y}} \sim N(0,1)$$

Test statistic:

← assuming  $H_0$  is true

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  against  $H_1: \mu_X > \mu_Y$

critical region

$$C^* = \{x : U(x) > u_{1-\alpha}\}$$

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  against  $H_1: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y$

critical region

$$C^* = \{x : |U(x)| > u_{1-\alpha/2}\}$$



# Model II: variance unknown but assumed equal, significance level $\alpha$ – *reminder*

---

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_X}$  are an IID sample from distr  $N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$ ,  
 $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n_Y}$  are an IID sample from distr  $N(\mu_Y, \sigma^2)$   
with  $\sigma^2$  **unknown**, samples are independent

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  Test statistic:  $T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{S_* \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_X} + \frac{1}{n_Y}}} \sim t(n_X + n_Y - 2)$   
Assuming  $H_0$  is true

$$S_*^2 = \frac{(n_X - 1)S_X^2 + (n_Y - 1)S_Y^2}{n_X + n_Y - 2}$$

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  against  $H_1: \mu_X > \mu_Y$

critical region  $C^* = \{x : T(x) > t_{1-\alpha}(n_X + n_Y - 2)\}$

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  against  $H_1: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y$

critical region  $C^* = \{x : |T(x)| > t_{1-\alpha/2}(n_X + n_Y - 2)\}$

---



$$S_X^2 = \frac{1}{n_X - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_X} (X_i - \bar{X})^2, S_Y^2 = \frac{1}{n_Y - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_Y} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

# Model II: comparison of variances, significance level $\alpha$

---

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_X}$  are an IID sample from distr  $N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ ,  
 $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n_Y}$  are an IID sample from distr  $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ ,  
 $\sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2$  are **unknown**, samples are independent

$$H_0: \sigma_X = \sigma_Y \quad F = \frac{S_X^2}{S_Y^2} \sim F(n_X - 1, n_Y - 1)$$

Test statistic:

$H_0: \sigma_X = \sigma_Y$  against  $H_1: \sigma_X > \sigma_Y$  assuming  $H_0$  is true

critical region  $C^* = \{x : F(x) > F_{1-\alpha}(n_X - 1, n_Y - 1)\}$

$H_0: \sigma_X = \sigma_Y$  against  $H_1: \sigma_X \neq \sigma_Y$

critical region  $C^* = \{x : F(x) < F_{\alpha/2}(n_X - 1, n_Y - 1) \vee F(x) > F_{1-\alpha/2}(n_X - 1, n_Y - 1)\}$



# Model III: comparison of means for large samples, significance level $\alpha$

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_X}$  are an IID sample from distr. with mean  $\mu_X$ ,  
 $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n_Y}$  are an IID sample from distr. with mean  $\mu_Y$ , both  
distr. have unknown variances, samples are independent,  
 $n_X, n_Y$  – large.

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  Test statistic: 
$$U = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{S_X^2}{n_X} + \frac{S_Y^2}{n_Y}}} \sim N(0,1)$$

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  against  $H_1: \mu_X > \mu_Y$   
critical region

$$C^* = \{x : U(x) > u_{1-\alpha}\}$$

assuming  $H_0$  is  
true, for large  
samples  
**approximately**

$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$  against  $H_1: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y$   
critical region

$$C^* = \{x : |U(x)| > u_{1-\alpha/2}\}$$



$$S_X^2 = \frac{1}{n_X - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_X} (X_i - \bar{X})^2, S_Y^2 = \frac{1}{n_Y - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_Y} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

## Model III – example (equality of means?)

---

167 students take part in a probability calculus exam.  
Is attending lectures profitable? ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )

Among those, who participated 3 times (93 students):  
mean = 3, variance = 0.70;

Among those, who participated less than 3 times (74 students): mean = 2.72, variance = 0.69.

Value of the test statistic

$$U = \frac{3 - 2.72}{\sqrt{0.70/93 + 0.69/74}} \approx 2.13$$



# Model IV: comparison of fractions for large samples, significance level $\alpha$

---

Two IID samples from two-point distributions.  $X$  – number of successes in  $n_X$  trials with prob of success  $p_X$ ,  $Y$  – number of successes in  $n_Y$  trials with prob of success  $p_Y$ .  $p_X$  and  $p_Y$  unknown,  $n_X$  and  $n_Y$  large.

$$H_0: p_X = p_Y$$

Test statistic:

$$U^* = \frac{\frac{X}{n_X} - \frac{Y}{n_Y}}{\sqrt{p_*(1-p_*) \left( \frac{1}{n_X} + \frac{1}{n_Y} \right)}} \sim N(0,1)$$

where  $p^* = \frac{X+Y}{n_X+n_Y}$

$$H_0: p_X = p_Y \text{ against } H_1: p_X > p_Y$$

critical region

$$C^* = \{x : U^*(x) > u_{1-\alpha}\}$$

$$H_0: p_X = p_Y \text{ against } H_1: p_X \neq p_Y$$

critical region

$$C^* = \{x : |U^*(x)| > u_{1-\alpha/2}\}$$

assuming  $H_0$  is true, for large samples **approximately**





## Model IV – example (equality of probabilities?)

---

167 students take part in a probability calculus exam.  
Is attending lectures profitable? ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )

Among those, who participated 3 times (93 students):  
64 passed (68.8%);

Among those, who participated less than 3 times (74 students): 36 passed (48.6%).

Value of the test statistic

$$U = \frac{0.688 - 0.486}{\sqrt{100/167 \cdot 67/167 \cdot (1/93 + 1/74)}} \approx 2,55$$



# Tests for more than two populations

---

A naive approach:

pairwise tests for all pairs

But:

in this case, the type I error is higher than the significance level assumed for each simple test...



# More populations

---

Assume we have  $k$  samples:

$$X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,n_1},$$

$$X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, \dots, X_{2,n_2},$$

...

$$X_{k,1}, X_{k,2}, \dots, X_{k,n_k}, \text{ and}$$

- all  $X_{i,j}$  are independent ( $i=1, \dots, k, j=1, \dots, n_i$ )
- $X_{i,j} \sim N(m_i, \sigma^2)$
- we do not know  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$ , nor  $\sigma^2$

---

$$\text{let } n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$$



# Test of the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for significance level $\alpha$

---

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$$

$$H_1: \neg H_0 \quad (\text{i.e. not all } \mu_i \text{ are equal})$$

A LR test; we get a test statistic:

$$F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2 / (k - 1)}{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{i,j} - \bar{X}_i)^2 / (n - k)} \sim F(k - 1, n - k)$$

assuming  $H_0$  is  
true

with critical region

$$C^* = \{x : F(x) > F_{1-\alpha}(k - 1, n - k)\}$$

$$\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} X_{i,j}, \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} X_{i,j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \bar{X}_i$$

for  $k=2$  the ANOVA is equivalent to the two-sample t-test.



# ANOVA – interpretation

---

we have

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{i,j} - \bar{X})^2}_{\text{Sum of Squares (SS)}} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2}_{\text{Sum of Squares Between (SSB)}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{i,j} - \bar{X}_i)^2}_{\text{Sum of Squares Within (SSW)}}$$

$$\frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2 \quad \text{– between group variance estimator}$$
$$\frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{i,j} - \bar{X}_i)^2 \quad \text{– within group variance estimator}$$



# ANOVA test – table

---

source of variability	sum of squares	degrees of freedom	value of the test statistic $F$
between groups	SSB	$k-1$	–
within groups	SSW	$n-k$	–
total	SS	$n-1$	$F$



# ANOVA test – example

Yearly chocolate consumption in three cities: A, B, C based on random samples of  $n_A = 8$ ,  $n_B = 10$ ,  $n_C = 9$  consumers. Does consumption depend on the city?

	A	B	C
sample mean	11	10	7
sample variance	3.5	2.8	3

$$\alpha=0.01$$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{27} (11 \cdot 8 + 10 \cdot 10 + 7 \cdot 9) = 9.3$$

$$SSB = (11 - 9.3)^2 \cdot 8 + (10 - 9.3)^2 \cdot 10 + (7 - 9.3)^2 \cdot 9 = 75.63$$

$$SSW = 3.5 \cdot 7 + 2.8 \cdot 9 + 3 \cdot 8 = 73.7$$

$$F = \frac{75.63/2}{73.7/24} \approx 12.31 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{0.99}(2,24) \approx 5.61$$

→ reject  $H_0$  (equality of means),  
consumption depends on city



# ANOVA test – table – example

---

source of variability	sum of squares	degrees of freedom	value of the test statistic F
between groups	75.63	2	–
within groups	73.7	24	–
total	149.33	26	12.31





# Non-parametric tests

---

- we check whether a random variable fits a given distribution (goodness-of-fit tests).
- we check whether random variables have the same distribution
- we check whether variables/characteristics are independent (test of independence)



# Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test

---

Model:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are an IID sample from distribution with CDF  $F$ .

$$H_0: F = F_0 \quad (F_0 \text{ specified})$$

$$H_1: \neg H_0 \quad (\text{i.e. the CDF is different})$$

If  $F_0$  is continuous, we use the statistic

$$D_n = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F_0(t)| = \max\{D_n^+, D_n^-\}$$

where

$$D_n^+ = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \left| \frac{i}{n} - F_0(x_{i:n}) \right|, \quad D_n^- = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \left| F_0(x_{i:n}) - \frac{i-1}{n} \right|$$

---

and  $F_n(t)$  –  $n$ -th empirical CDF



## Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test – cont.

---

The test: we reject  $H_0$  when:

$$D_n > c(\alpha, n)$$

for a critical value  $c(\alpha, n)$ .

Theorem. If  $H_0$  is true, the distribution of  $D_n$  does not depend on  $F_0$ .

Problem: This distribution needs tables, for each different  $n$ .

Theorem. In the limit

$$P(\sqrt{n}D_n \leq d) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} K(d) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k e^{-2k^2 d^2}$$

the approximation may be used for  $n \geq 100$



## Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test – cont. (2)

---

Tables of the asymptotic distribution  $K(d)$

$1-\alpha$	0.8	0.9	0.95	0.99
quantile of $K(d)$	1.07	1.22	1.36	1.63
$c(n, \alpha)$ for $n \geq 100$	$1.07/\sqrt{n}$	$1.22/\sqrt{n}$	$1.36/\sqrt{n}$	$1.63/\sqrt{n}$



# Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test – example

---

Does the sample

0.4085   0.5267   0.3751   0.8329   0.0846

0.8306   0.6264   0.3086   0.3662   0.7952

come from a uniform distribution  $U(0,1)$ ?



# Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test – example cont.

$X_{i:10}$	$(i-1)/10$	$i/10$	$i/10 - F(X_{i:10})$	$F(X_{i:10}) - (i-1)/10$
0.0846	0	0.1	0.0154	0.0846
0.3086	0.1	0.2	-0.1086	<b>0.2086</b>
0.3662	0.2	0.3	-0.0662	0.1662
0.3751	0.3	0.4	0.0249	0.0751
0.4085	0.4	0.5	0.0915	0.0085
0.5267	0.5	0.6	0.0733	0.0267
0.6264	0.6	0.7	0.0736	0.0264
0.7952	0.7	0.8	0.0048	0.0952
0.8306	0.8	0.9	0.0694	0.0306
0.8329	0.9	1	<b>0.1671</b>	-0.0671

$$D_n = 0.2086 \quad c(10; 0.9) = 0.369$$

→ no grounds to reject the null hypothesis that the distribution is uniform



# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test

Model:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are an IID sample from a discrete distribution with  $k$  values (1, ...,  $k$ ).

$H_0$ : the distribution probabilities are equal to

$i$	1	2	3	...	$k$
$P(X=i)$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$	...	$p_k$

$H_1: \neg H_0$  (i.e. the distribution is different)

If the results of the experiment are

$i$	1	2	3	...	$k$
$N_i$	$N_1$	$N_2$	$N_3$	...	$N_k$

value  
labels

where  $N_i$  denotes the number of outcomes

equal to  $i$ :

$$N_i = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{X_j=i}$$

# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test – cont.

---

General form of the test:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(\text{observed value} - \text{expected value})^2}{\text{expected value}}$$

here:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(N_i - np_i)^2}{np_i}$$

Theorem. If  $H_0$  is true, the distribution of the  $\chi^2$  statistic converges to a chi-square distr with  $k-1$  degrees of freedom  $\chi^2(k-1)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Procedure: we reject  $H_0$  if  $\chi^2 > c$ , where  $c = \chi^2_{1-\alpha}(k-1)$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha$  from a chi-square distr with  $k-1$  degrees of freedom





# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test – example

Is a die symmetric? For a significance level  $\alpha=0.05$   
 $n=150$  tosses. Results:

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$N_i$	15	27	36	17	26	29

$$H_0: (N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5, N_6)$$

$$\sim \text{Mult}(150, 1/6, 1/6, 1/6, 1/6, 1/6)$$

$$H_1: \neg H_0$$

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(15 - 25)^2}{25} + \frac{(27 - 25)^2}{25} + \frac{(36 - 25)^2}{25} + \frac{(17 - 25)^2}{25} + \frac{(26 - 25)^2}{25} + \frac{(29 - 25)^2}{25}$$
$$= 12.24$$

$$\chi^2_{1-0.05}(5) \approx 11.7 \rightarrow \text{we reject } H_0.$$



# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test – distribution with an unknown parameter.

---

Model:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are an IID sample from a discrete distribution with  $k$  values  $(1, \dots, k)$ .

$H_0$ : distribution probabilities are equal to

$i$	1	2	3	...	$k$
$P(X=i)$	$p_1(\theta)$	$p_2(\theta)$	$p_3(\theta)$	...	$p_k(\theta)$

where  $\theta$  is an unknown parameter of dimension  $d$ .

$H_1: \neg H_0$  (i.e. the distribution is different)



# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test – distribution with an unknown parameter, cont.

---

Test statistics are constructed like in the previous case, with the expected values calculated using ML estimators of the parameter  $\theta$ . Only the number of degrees of freedom changes:

Theorem. If  $H_0$  is true, the distribution of the  $\chi^2$  statistic converges to a chi-square distribution with  $k-d-1$  degrees of freedom  $\chi^2(k-d-1)$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$



# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test – version for continuous distributions

---

Kolmogorov tests are better, but the chi-square test may also be used

Model:  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are an IID sample from a continuous distribution.

$H_0$ : The distribution is given by  $F$

$H_1$ :  $\neg H_0$  (i.e. the distribution is different)

*It suffices to divide the range of values of the random variable into classes and count the observations. The expected values are known (result from  $F$ ). Then: the chi-square test.*



# Chi-square goodness-of-fit test – practical notes

---

- ❑ The test should be used for large samples only.
- ❑ The expected counts can't be too small ( $<5$ ). If they are smaller, observations should be grouped.
- ❑ The classes in the „continuous” version may be chosen arbitrarily, but it is best if the theoretical probabilities are balanced.



