Mathematical Statistics

### Anna Janicka

Lecture IX, 26.04.2021

CONFIDENCE INTERVALS – cont. HYPOTHESIS TESTING

#### Plan for Today

- 1. Confidence intervals cont.
- 2. A statistical hypothesis
- 3. A statistical test
- 4. Type I and type II errors
- 5. Significance level, p-value
- 6. Testing scheme
- 7. Power of a test



#### Most commonly used models for CI

- Model I (normal): CI for the mean, variance known
- Model II (normal): CI for the mean, variance unknown
- Model II (normal): CI for the variance
- Model III (asymptotic): CI for the mean
- Model IV (asymptotic): CI for the fraction
- Asymptotic model: CI based on MLE



#### CI for the mean – Model III – reminder

Asymptotic model:  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , ...,  $X_n$  are an IID sample from a distr. with mean ( $\mu$ ) and variance, n – large. *Approximate* CI for  $\mu$ , for a confidence level 1- $\alpha$ :

$$\left[\overline{X} - u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{X} + u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

where  $u_{1-\alpha/2}$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha/2$  from the N(0,1) distribution,  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$  for the unbiased estimator of the variance  $S^2$ .

Justification: from CLT, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have





#### CI for the fraction – Model IV – reminder

Asymptotic model:  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are an IID sample from a two-point distribution, n – large.

$$P_{p}(X=1) = p = 1 - P_{p}(X=0)$$

Approximate CI for p, for a confidence level  $1-\alpha$ :

$$\left[\hat{p}-u_{1-\alpha/2}\frac{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}}{\sqrt{n}},\hat{p}+u_{1-\alpha/2}\frac{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

where  $u_{1-\alpha/2}$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha/2$  from the N(0,1) distribution



#### **CI** for the fraction – Model IV, properties

Assessment error:  $d = u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}}{\sqrt{n}}$ Sample size allowing to obtain a given precision (error) *d*:

$$n \ge \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})u_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{d^2}$$

if we do not know anything about *p*, we need to consider the worst scenario where *p*=1/2:  $n \ge \frac{U_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{\sqrt{d^2}}$ 



WARSAW UNIVERSITY Faculty of Economic Sciences

e.g. 1,645<sup>2</sup>/(4 \* 0,025<sup>2</sup>)  $\approx$  1082

# CI on the base of the MLE – Asymptotic model

Asymptotic model:  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are an IID sample from a distr. with unknown parameter  $\theta$ , n – large. If  $\hat{\theta} = MLE(\theta)$  is asymptotically normal with an asymptotic variance equal to  $\frac{1}{\mu_1(\theta)}$ , i.e.

$$(\hat{\theta} - \theta)\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{1}{l_1(\theta)})$$

and if  $I(\hat{\theta}) = MLE(I(\theta))$  is consistent, and we have:  $(\hat{\theta} - \theta)\sqrt{nI(\hat{\theta})} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1)$ 

Approximate CI for  $\theta$ , for a confidence level 1- $\alpha$ :

$$\hat{\theta} - u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nl_1(\hat{\theta})}}, \hat{\theta} + u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nl_1(\hat{\theta})}}$$

where  $u_{1-\alpha/2}$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha/2$  from N(0,1)

# CI on the base of the MLE – Asymptotic model, general case

Asymptotic model:  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are an IID sample from a distr. with unknown parameter  $\theta$ , n – large. If  $g(\hat{\theta}) = g(MLE(\theta))$  is asymptotically normal with an asymptotic variance equal to  $\frac{(g'(\theta))^2}{l_1(\theta)}$ , i.e.  $(\hat{\theta} - \theta)\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{(g'(\theta))^2}{l_1(\theta)})$ and if  $I(\hat{\theta}) = MLE(I(\theta))$  is consistent, and we have:  $(\hat{\theta} - \theta)\sqrt{nI(\hat{\theta})} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1)$ 

Approximate CI for  $g(\hat{\theta})$ , for a confidence level  $1 - \alpha$ :  $g(\hat{\theta}) - u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{|g'(\hat{\theta})|}{\sqrt{nl_1(\hat{\theta})}}, g(\hat{\theta}) + u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{|g'(\hat{\theta})|}{\sqrt{nl_1(\hat{\theta})}}$ 

where  $u_{1-\alpha/2}$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha/2$  from N(0,1)

#### **CI on the base of the MLE – Example**

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , ...,  $X_n$  be an IID sample from a Poisson distr. with unknown parameter  $\theta$ , n – large.

 $\hat{\theta} = MLE(\theta) = \overline{X}$  is asymptotically normal (CLT) with an asymptotic variance equal to  $\gamma_{l_1(\theta)} = \theta$  $\hat{I}(\theta) = 1/\hat{\theta}$  behaves well.

Approximate CI for  $\theta$ , for a confidence level  $1 - \alpha$ :

$$\left[\overline{X} - u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\overline{X}}}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{X} + u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\overline{X}}}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

where  $u_{1-\alpha/2}$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha/2$  from N(0,1)

For example, if for *n*=900 we had  $\overline{X} = 4$ , then the 90% CI for  $\theta$ would be  $\approx \left[4 - 1.645\sqrt{\frac{4}{900}}, 4 + 1.645\sqrt{\frac{4}{900}}\right] \approx [3.89, 4.11]$ 

#### **CI** on the base of the MLE – Example cont.

If we wanted to approximate the probability of the outcome = 0, we would look for  $g(\theta) = e^{-\theta}$ 

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = g(MLE(\theta)) = e^{-\overline{X}}$$

And the approximate CI for  $g(\theta)$ , for a confidence level 1- $\alpha$ :

$$\left[ e^{-\overline{X}} - u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\overline{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\overline{X}}, e^{-\overline{X}} + u_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sqrt{\overline{X}}}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\overline{X}} \right]$$

where  $u_{1-\alpha/2}$  is a quantile of rank  $1-\alpha/2$  from N(0,1) For example, if for *n*=900 we had  $\overline{X} = 4$ , then the 90% CI for  $g(\theta)$  would be

 $\approx \left| e^{-4} - 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{4}{900}} e^{-4}, e^{-4} + 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{4}{900}} e^{-4} \right| \approx [0.016, 0.020]$ 

WARSAW UNIVERSITY Faculty of Economic Sciences a statement regarding the probability distribution governing the phenomenon of interest (the random variable observed)

Aim: we want to draw conclusions about the validity of the hypothesis based on observed values of the random variable



WARSAW UNIVERSITY Faculty of Economic Sciences

#### **Examples of statistical hypotheses**

- $\Box X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are a sample from an exponential distribution
- $\Box$   $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are a sample from a normal distribution (assumption) with param (5, 1)
- $\Box EX_i = 7$  (the expected value of the distr is 7)
- □ Var  $X_i$  > 1 (the variance of the distribution exceeds 1)
- $\Box X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  are independent
- $\square EX_{j}=EY_{j} (X_{1}, X_{2}, ..., X_{n} \text{ and } Y_{1}, Y_{2}, ..., Y_{m}$   $\implies have the same expected value)$

#### **Types of hypotheses**

#### hypothesis

- Parametric: concerning the value of distribution parameters
- nonparametric: concerning other properties of the distribution

#### hypothesis

- simple: specifies a single distribution
- composite: specifies a family of distributions



#### Null and alternative hypotheses

## **Null hypothesis**: "basic", denoted $H_0$ **Alternative hypothesis**: hypothesis which is accepted if the null is rejected, denoted $H_1$

e.g.:  

$$H_0: \lambda = 1, \quad H_1: \lambda \neq 1$$
  
 $H_0: \lambda = 1, \quad H_1: \lambda = 2$   
 $H_0: \lambda = 1, \quad H_1: \lambda > 1$ 



Warsaw University Faculty of Economic Sciences The null and alternative hypotheses do not have equal status.

Null hypothesis: a statement, perhaps based on existing theory, deemed true until there appear observations very hard to reconcile with the statement. Speculative hypothesis.

Alternative hypothesis: the possibility taken into account when we are forced to reject the null hypothesis



A procedure, which for any sample of observations (any possible set of values) leads to one of two decisions:

- reject the null hypothesis (in favor of the alternative)
- do not reject the null hypothesis

reject  $H_0$ 

no grounds to reject  $H_0$ 



Point of departure: statistical model

 $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) - \text{vector of observations} \in X$   $X \sim P_{\theta}, \{P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta\} - \text{a family of distributions}$ Hypotheses  $H_0, H_1$ :  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$ 

such that  $\Theta_0 \cap \Theta_1 = \varnothing$ 

(the hypotheses are mutually exclusive)



#### A test of $H_0$ against $H_1$ :

#### Statistic $\delta : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

the value 1 is interpreted as rejection of  $H_0$  (in favor of  $H_1$ ) and 0 as not rejecting  $H_0$ 

#### **Region of rejection (critical region)**:

 $C = \{x \in X : \delta(x) = 1\}$  – set of values for which we reject  $H_0$ ;

#### **Region of acceptance:**

 $A = \{x \in X : \delta(x) = 0\}$  – set of values for which we do not reject  $H_0$ 

 $C \cup A = X, C \cap A = \emptyset$ 



Warsaw University Faculty of Economic Science: The critical region of a test usually takes the form

$$C = \{x \in \boldsymbol{X} : T(x) > c\}$$

for a selected statistic *T* (test statistic) and a value *c* (critical value)

Equivalent descriptions of a test:

- specification of T and c
- specification of C
  - specification of  $\delta$



in many cases by a **critical region** one means the range of

We want to verify whether a coin is symmetric We toss the coin 400 times  $X \sim B(400, p)$  $\Box H_0: p = \frac{1}{2}, H_1: p \neq \frac{1}{2}$  $\Box$  Some results may suggest rejection of  $H_0$ :  $|X - 200| < c - do not reject H_0$  $|X - 200| \ge c - reject H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$ . *i.e.* T(x) = |x - 200|



Warsaw University Faculty of Economic Sciences  $\rightarrow$  how do we choose *c*?

#### Type I and type II errors

There is always a possibility of error due to randomness of observations

	In reality we have	
decision	$H_0$ true	$H_0$ false
reject H <sub>0</sub>	Type I error	OK
do not reject $H_0$	OK	Type II error

# $P_{\theta}(C)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_0$ – probability of type I error $P_{\theta}(A)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_1$ – probability of type II error



Warsaw University Faculty of Economic Science: there is a trade-off between errors of 1st and 11nd type: it's impossible to minimize both simultaneously

#### Type I and type II errors: graphical interpretation (1)

distributions of the test statistic T assuming that the null and alternative hypotheses are true



#### Type I and type II errors: graphical interpretation (2)

distributions of the test statistic T assuming that the null and alternative hypotheses are true



A test has a **significance level**  $\alpha$ , if for any  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  we have  $P_{\theta}(C) \leq \alpha$ .

Usually: we look for tests with minimal probability of type II error for a given level of significance  $\alpha$ , usually = 0.1 or 0.05 or 0.01

Type I error usually more important – not only conservatism



WARSAW UNIVERSITY Faculty of Economic Sciences

#### Statistical test – example cont. Finding the critical range

We want: significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ We look for *c* such that (assuming  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ )

P(|X - 200| > c) = 0.01

From the de Moivre-Laplace theorem for large n!

$$P(|X - 200| > c) \approx 2 \Phi(-c/10)$$
, to get  
= 0.01 we need  $c \approx 25.8$ 

For a significance level <u>approximately</u> 0.01 we reject *H*<sub>0</sub> when the number of tails is lower than 175 or higher than 225



 $C = \{0, 1, \dots, 174\} \cup \{226, 227, \dots, 400\}$ 

#### Statistical test – example cont. (2). p-value

Slightly different question: what if the number of tails were 220 (T = 20)?

We have:

$$P_{\frac{1}{2}}(|X-200|>20)\approx 0.05$$

**p-value**: probability of type I error, if the value of the test statistic obtained was the critical value

### So: *p*-value for T = 20 is approximately 0.05



p-value – probability of obtaining results at least as extreme as the ones obtained (contradicting the null at least as much as those obtained)

decisions:

- p-value <  $\alpha$  reject the null hypothesis
- p-value  $\geq \alpha$  no grounds to reject the null hypothesis



WARSAW UNIVERSITY Faculty of Economic Sciences

#### Statistical test – example cont. (3) The choice of the alternative hypothesis

For a different alternative...

For example, we lose if tails appear *too often*.  $\square H_0$ :  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $H_1$ :  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ 

□ Which results would lead to rejecting  $H_0$ ?

$$X - 200 \le c - do not reject H_{0.}$$

**X** – 200 > 
$$c$$
 – reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$ .

*i.e.* T(x) = x - 200

we could have  $H_0$ :  $p \le \frac{1}{2}$ 



Warsaw University Faculty of Economic Sciences

#### Statistical test – example cont. (4) The choice of the alternative hypothesis

Again, from the de Moivre – Laplace theorem:  $P_{\frac{1}{2}}(X - 200 > c) \approx 0.01$  for  $c \approx 23.3$ , so for a significance level 0.01 we reject  $H_0: p = \frac{1}{2}$  in favor of  $H_1: p > \frac{1}{2}$  if the number of tails is at least 224

### What if we got 220 tails? p-value is equal to $\approx$ 0.025; do not reject $H_0$



#### Scheme of conducting a statistical test

- 1. Definition of the statistical model
- **2.** Posing hypotheses:  $H_0$  and  $H_1$
- **3**. Choice of significance level  $\alpha$
- 4. Choice of the test statistic T / defining the critical region C
- 5. Decision: depends on whether the value of the test statistic falls into the critical region (or based on comparison of the p-value and  $\alpha$ )



#### Power of the test (for an alternative hypothesis)

 $P_{\theta}(C)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  – power of the test (for an alternative hypothesis)

Function of the power of a test:

 $1-\beta: \Theta_1 \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $1-\beta(\theta) = \mathsf{P}_{\theta}(C)$ 

Usually: we look for tests with a given level of significance and the highest power possible.



#### Statistical test – example cont. (5) Power of the test

 $\square$  We test  $H_0$ :  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  against  $H_1$ :  $p = \frac{3}{4}$ with: T(x) = X - 200,  $C = \{T(x) > 23.3\}$ (i.e. for a significance level  $\alpha = 0.01$ ) Power of the test:  $1-\beta(\frac{3}{4}) = P(T(x) > 23.3 \mid p = \frac{3}{4}) = P_{\frac{3}{4}}(X > 223.3)$ ≈1-Φ((223.3-300)/5√3) ≈ Φ(8.85) ≈ 1 **D** But if  $H_1$ : p = 0.55 $1-\beta(0.55) = P(T(x) > 23.3 \mid p = 0.55) \approx 1-\Phi(0.33) \approx 1 0.63 \approx 0.37$  $\square$  And if  $H_1$ :  $p = \frac{1}{4}$  for the same T we would get  $\sqrt[3]{1-\beta(1/4)} = P(T(x) > 23.3 \mid p = 1/4) \approx 1-\Phi(14.23) \approx 0$ 

#### Power of the test: Graphical interpretation (1)

distributions of the test statistic T assuming that the null and alternative hypotheses are true



#### Power of the test: Graphical interpretation (2)

distributions of the test statistic T assuming that the null and alternative hypotheses are true





WARSAW UNIVERSITY Faculty of Economic Sciences